A simple mixture model for probability density estimation based on a quasi divergence

 $Osamu \ Komori^{1,2} \ and \ Shinto \ Eguchi^2$ ¹University of Fukui, ²The Institute of Statistical Mathematics, Japan

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{F} be the space of all probability density functions with respect to a carrier measure λ , and let ν be a one-to-one mapping from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{F} .

Definition 1 Let a functional D on $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ be a quasi-divergence if

$$D(g,f) \ge 0 \tag{1}$$

with equality if and only if $f = \nu(g)$. Further D is called a divergence if ν is an identity mapping.

Let us take a way to introduce a class of quasi divergences. For this fix a function ϕ that is a strictly increasing and concave function. Thus we define a ϕ cross entropy as

$$C_{\phi}(g,f) = -\int \phi(f(x))g(x)d\lambda(x).$$
(2)

The corresponding loss for a data set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is given as

$$L_{\phi}(\theta) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(f_{\theta}(x_i)).$$
(3)

where $\mathcal{L}_{\phi}(\theta) = 1/n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi \left(\sum_{j=0}^{J} \theta_j f_j(x_i) \right), \theta_0 = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \theta_j \text{ and } \theta_j \ge 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, J$. An non-informative probability density function $f_0(x)$ is introduced to enable us to do selection of density functions.

Then we take the gradient descent approach similar to that of [3], where the gradient $\mathbf{g}(\theta) = (\mathbf{g}_1(\theta), \mathbf{g}_2(\theta), \dots, \mathbf{g}_J(\theta))^{\top}$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{g}_{j}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} \mathcal{L}_{\phi}(\theta) + \omega \theta_{j} & \text{if } \theta_{j} \neq 0\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} \mathcal{L}_{\phi}(\theta) - \omega \text{sign}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} \mathcal{L}_{\phi}(\theta)) & \text{if } \theta_{j} = 0 \text{ and } \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} \mathcal{L}_{\phi}(\theta)\right| > \omega (15)\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for j = 1, ..., J. The range of optimization for a scalar ρ is given as

$$\rho_{\text{edge}}(\theta) = \min_{j=1,\dots,J} \left\{ \frac{\theta_j}{\mathsf{g}_j(\theta)} \middle| \operatorname{sign}(\theta_j) = -\operatorname{sign}(\mathsf{g}_j(\theta)) \neq 0 \right\}.$$
(16)

1. Set
$$\theta_0^{(1)} = 1$$
 and $\theta_j^{(1)} = 0$ for $j = 1, ..., J$.
2. For $t = 2, ..., T$,
(a) Update $\theta_j^{(t)} = \max\left(0, \theta_j^{(t-1)} + \rho_{\text{opt}} \mathbf{g}_j(\theta^{(t-1)})\right)$ for $j = 1, ..., J$ where

An argument from a variational calculus leads to the following inequality: **Proposition 1** It holds for any f and g of \mathcal{F} that

$$C_{\phi}(g, f) \ge C_{\phi}(g, \xi(g)), \tag{4}$$

where $\xi(g) = {\phi'}^{-1}(c/g)$. Here c > 0 is a normalizing factor satisfying

$$\int \phi'^{-1} \left(\frac{c}{g(x)}\right) d\lambda(x) = 1.$$
(5)

As a result, we can define

$$D_{\phi}(g,f) = \int \{\phi(\xi(g(x))) - \phi(f(x))\}g(x)d\lambda(x), \tag{6}$$

where D_{ϕ} is called a quasi-divergence with the mapping ξ .

Remark 1 There are two ways to make a divergence from the quasidivergence. First, if we deform D_{ϕ} as

$$D_{\phi}^{*}(g,f) = D_{\phi}(\xi^{-1}(g),f), \tag{7}$$

then $D^*_{\phi}(g, f)$ is a divergence given as in Definition 1. In effect,

$$D_{\phi}^*(g,f) = \int \frac{\phi(g(x)) - \phi(f(x))}{\phi'(g(x))} d\lambda(x) / \int \frac{1}{\phi'(g(x))} d\lambda(x), \qquad (8)$$

which is nothing but the generalized KL divergence [1]

$$\mathbb{E}_g^{(\phi)}\{\phi(g(X)) - \phi(f(X))\},\tag{9}$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{g}^{(\phi)}$ denotes the generalized expectation with respect to g. For example, if we take a specific function as $\phi(f) = (f^{\beta} - 1)/\beta$, then

$$D_{\phi}^{*}(g,f) = \frac{1}{\beta} \Big(1 - \int g^{1-\beta} f^{\beta} d\lambda \Big) \Big(\int g^{1-\beta} d\lambda \Big)^{-1}, \tag{10}$$

which is proportional to the α -divergence with a relation to $\alpha = 2\beta - 1$. Second, we deform D_{ϕ} as

$$\rho_{\text{opt}} = \underset{0 \le \rho \le \rho_{\text{edge}}(\theta^{(t-1)})}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathcal{L}_{\phi} \Big(\theta^{(t-1)} + \rho \ \mathbf{g}(\theta^{(t-1)}), \omega \Big)$$
(17)

(b) Update $\theta_0^{(t)} = \max\left(0, 1 - \sum_{j=1}^J \theta_j^{(t)}\right).$

3. Apply the EM algorithm to $f_j(x)$ $(j \neq 0)$ in the active set \mathcal{A} with the initial value $\theta_j^{(T)}$ to obtain $\hat{\theta}_j$. And set $\hat{\theta}_j = 0$ for $f_j(x)$ in \mathcal{A}^c .

4. Output

$$\hat{f}_{\phi}(x) = \frac{1}{\phi'\left(\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{\theta}_j f_j(x)\right)} \bigg/ \int \frac{1}{\phi'\left(\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{\theta}_j f_j(x)\right)} d\lambda.$$
(18)

3 Simulation studies

We generate random variables from the normal mixture as

$$x_i \sim \pi_0 N(0, I_p) + \pi_1 N(\mu_1, I_p) + \pi_2 N(\mu_2, I_p), \ i = 1, \dots, n$$
(19)

where $\pi_0 = \pi_1 = \pi_2 = 1/3$, $\mu_1 = (\mu, \dots, \mu)^{\top}$, $\mu_2 = -\mu_1$ and n = 90. And we consider $f_0(x) = f(x, 0, 1000 \times I_p)$. We compare the performance of lasso algorithm based on $\phi(t) = \log(t)$ and $(t^{\beta} - 1)/\beta$ with $\beta = 0.1$ and $\beta = 0.9$, and the kernel density estimation method by [4] using the **R** package **ks**.



$$D_{\phi}^{**}(g,f) = D_{\phi}(g,\xi(f))$$

$$= -\int \{\phi(\xi(f(x))) - \phi(\xi(g(x)))\}g(x)d\lambda(x). \quad (11)$$

$$If we take as \phi(f) = (f^{\beta} - 1)/\beta,$$

$$D_{\phi}^{**}(g,f) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \left[\frac{\int f^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}}gd\lambda}{\left(\int f^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}d\lambda\right)^{\beta}} - \left(\int g^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}d\lambda\right)^{1-\beta} \right], \quad (12)$$

which is nothing but the γ -power divergence when $\gamma = \beta/(1-\beta)$ [2].

2 Probability density estimation

We consider a simple mixture model

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \theta^{\top} f(x), \qquad (13)$$

where $f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_J)$ and $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_J)$. Then we consider

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi}(\theta,\omega) = \mathcal{L}_{\phi}(\theta) + \omega \sum_{j=1}^{J} |\theta_j|, \qquad (14)$$

Figure 1. Boxplots of log of MSE for lasso method (lasso(log), lasso(beta=0.1) and lasso(beta=0.9)) and ks and EM-like algorithm (EM(log) EM(beta=0.1), EM(beta=0.9)) based on 50 repetitions of simulations.

References

- [1] Eguchi, S., Komori, O and Ohara, A. Information geometry associated with two generalized means (in preparation).
- [2] Fujisawa, H. and Eguchi, S. (2008) Robust parameter estimation with a small bias against heavy contamination. Journal of Multivariate Analysis **99**, 2053–2081.
- [3] Goeman, J. J. (2010) L₁ penalized estimation in the Cox proportional hazards model. *Biometrical Journal* 20, 3375–3387.
- [4] Duong, T. (2010) ks: Kernel density estimation and kernel discriminant analysis for multivariate data in R. Journal of Statistical Software 21, 1–16.