

Estimation in a Deformed Exponential Family

Harsha K V and Subrahmanian Moosath K S



Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, India ; Indian Institute of Space Science and Technology, Trivandrum, India
harshakv@iitb.math.ac.in ; smoosath@iist.ac.in

IGAIA 2016 conference at Liblice, Czech Republic. 12-17 June 2016

Introduction

- Deformed exponential family is a generalized notion of an exponential family which was introduced by Naudts [1].
- Here we discuss about certain generalized notions of maximum likelihood estimator and estimation problem in a deformed exponential family.

Deformed Exponential Family

We formulate the deformed exponential family using a function F and we call it as F -exponential family.

Definition

Let $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any smooth function satisfying $F'(x) > 0$ and $F''(x) < 0$. Let Z be the inverse function of F . Define the standard form of an n -dimensional F -exponential family $\mathcal{S} = \{p(x; \theta)\}$ of probability distributions as

$$p(x; \theta) = Z\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \theta^i x_i - \psi_F(\theta)\right) \quad \text{or} \quad F(p(x; \theta)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta^i x_i - \psi_F(\theta) \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a set of random variables, $\theta = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n)$ are the parameters and $\psi_F(\theta)$ is determined from the normalization condition. When $F(p) = \log p$ the F -exponential family is the exponential family.

Dually flat structures of the Deformed Exponential Family

The deformed exponential family has two dually flat structures, U -geometry by Naudts [1] and χ -geometry by Amari et al. [2].

U -geometry

Naudts [1] defined a divergence of Bregman type on a F -exponential family by

$$D^F(p, q) = \int \left(\int_q^p (F(u) - F(q)) du \right) dx \quad (2)$$

This divergence induces a dually flat structure $(g^{D^F}, \nabla^{D^F}, \nabla^{D^{*F}})$ called the U -geometry.

χ -geometry

Amari et al. [2] defined a divergence D_F on the F -exponential family as

$$D_F(p, r) = \frac{1}{h_F(\theta_1)} \int (F(p) - F(r)) \frac{1}{F'(p)} dx \quad (3)$$

where $h_F(\theta) = \int \frac{1}{F'(p)} dx$.

D_F induces a dually flat structure $(g^{D_F}, \nabla^{D_F}, \nabla^{D_F^*})$ which is called the χ -geometry.

U -estimator

Let $\mathbf{x}_N = (\mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^N)$ be N independent observations from $p(\mathbf{x}; \theta) \in \mathcal{S}$. Eguchi et al. [3] defined the U -estimator $\hat{\theta}_U$ using an increasing convex function U by

$$\hat{\theta}_U = \arg \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{E}} L_U(\theta) \quad (4)$$

where $L_U(\theta)$ is the U -loss function defined as

$$L_U(\theta) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \xi(p(\mathbf{x}^i; \theta)) + \int U(\xi(p(\mathbf{x}; \theta))) dx ; \quad (U^*)'(t) = \xi(t)$$

Generalized Cramer-Rao Lower Bound

The U -estimator is not optimal with respect to the Cramer-Rao lower bound. Naudts [1] defined a generalized Cramer-rao bound. We give a proof of the generalized Cramer-Rao bound defined by Naudts using a generalized score vector and an F -escort probability density function.

Define F -expectation and F -variance of a random variable $X \sim p(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$ as follows

$$E_{\hat{p}_F}[X] = \frac{1}{h_F(\theta)} \int x \frac{1}{F'(p)} dx \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Var}_F(X) = E_{\hat{p}_F}[(X - E_{\hat{p}_F}(X))^2] \quad (6)$$

Theorem

Let X be a random variable with density $p(\mathbf{x}; \theta) \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $T = t(X)$ be an unbiased estimator for $\psi(\theta)$ so that $E_p[t(X)] = \psi(\theta)$. Also let the F -expectation of $t(X)$ is $E_{\hat{p}_F}[t(X)] = \phi(\theta)$. Then the F -variance satisfies the lower bound

$$\text{Var}_F(T) \geq \frac{|\psi'(\theta)|^2}{g^N(\theta)} \quad (7)$$

where $g^N(\theta) = h_F(\theta)g^G(\theta)$, g^G is the G -metric with $G(p) = pF'(p)$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{p(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = Z(\theta \mathbf{x} - \psi_F(\theta))\}$ be a F -exponential family and let $\eta = E_p[\mathbf{x}]$ be the dual coordinate in the U -geometry. Then U -estimator $\hat{\eta}_U = \bar{x}$ for η is optimal with respect to the generalized Cramer-Rao bound defined by Naudts. That is,

$$\text{Var}_F(\hat{\eta}_U) = \frac{1}{g^N(\eta)}. \quad (8)$$

Conclusion

- A proof of the generalized Cramer-Rao bound defined by Naudts [1] is given.
- Then we give a proof of the result that in a deformed exponential family the U -estimator for the dual coordinate in the U -geometry is optimal with respect to the generalized Cramer-Rao bound defined by Naudts.

We express our sincere gratitude to Prof. Shun-ichi Amari for the fruitful discussions.

References

1. Naudts, J. (2004). Estimators, escort probabilities, and ϕ -exponential families in statistical physics. *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 5(4), Article 102.
2. Amari, S., Ohara, A. and Matsuzoe, H. (2012). Geometry of deformed exponential families: Invariant, dually flat and conformal geometries. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 391: 4308-4319.
3. Eguchi, S., Komori, o. and Ohara, A. (2014). Duality of Maximum Entropy and Minimum Divergence. *Entropy*, 16: 3552-3572.